UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Original Mann, formerly known as Cyrus Casby,1

Plaintiff

6

8

9

10

201

21

24

Usher Raymond, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01578-CDS-EJY

Order Adopting Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and Closing Case

[ECF No. 7]

Pro se plaintiff Original Mann, formerly known as Cyrus Casby, commenced this action 11 by filing a complaint but did not apply to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) or remit the required filing fee. On October 5, 2023, Magistrate Judge Elayna Youchah ordered Mann to either pay the 13 \$402 filing fee or file a complete IFP application. Order, ECF No. 3. Mann complied with the 14 court's order and submitted an IFP application. ECF No. 4. Thereafter, Judge Youchah granted 15 Mann's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 4) but dismissed his complaint (ECF 16 No. 1-1) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, with leave to amend. Order, 17 ECF No. 6. Mann had until November 30, 2023 to file a first amended complaint curing the 18 deficiencies identified in the order. *Id.* After finding that Mann failed to amend his complaint before the deadline, Judge Youchah recommends that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice. Report and Recommendation (R&R), ECF No. 7.

Under this district's local rules, Mann had until December 22, 2023 to file objections to the R&R. LR IB 3-2(a). As of the date of this order, no objections have been filed. Although I am not required to review Judge Youchah's R&R², I nonetheless reviewed it and the docket, and

¹ In his prison records, and his own filings, plaintiff's name is spelled "Casby" not "Gasby." The clerk is kindly instructed to change the docket to reflect the proper spelling of plaintiff's last name.

^{26|| 2 &}quot;[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed." Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

agree with her findings. Mann's complaint does not state an identifiable claim for relief adequate to fairly alert defendants of the claims against them and the grounds upon which they rest. See ECF No. 1-1. Mann has not filed an amended complaint, nor did he request any additional time to file one. Instead, Mann submitted a "status request" which did not contain objections to the R&R nor any explanation or good cause for his failure to file objections and/or an amended complaint. ECF No. 8. As such, I adopt the R&R in full and dismiss the complaint without prejudice.

Conclusion

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Youchah's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 7] is ADOPTED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is kindly instructed to close this case.

DATED: January 9, 2024

Cristina D. Silva

United States District Judge

³ The court notes, however, that as plaintiff in this action, it is Mann's obligation to monitor the court's docket on a regular basis, in order to keep himself advised of the case status. See In re Delaney, 29 F.3d 516, 517 (9th Cir. 1994) (parties have an "affirmative duty" to monitor the docket to determine entry of orders).